## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Southern District of Indiana

United States of America	ì	
V.		
Corey Tinnin		Case No: 1:10CR00003-012
		) USM No: 09416-028
Date of Original Judgment:	12/02/2010	
Date of Previous Amended Judgment:		) Juval Scott
(Use Date of Last Amended Judgment if Any)		Defendant's Attorney
ORDER REGARD	ING MOTIO	ON FOR SENTENCE REDUCTION
PUR	SUANT TO	18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)
subsequently been lowered and made ret § 994(u), and having considered such moand the sentencing factors set forth in 18  IT IS ORDERED that the motion is:	roactive by the Uption, and taking U.S.C. § 3553(a	imposed based on a guideline sentencing range that has United States Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. into account the policy statement set forth at USSG §1B1.10 a), to the extent that they are applicable,  at's previously imposed sentence of imprisonment (as reflected in
the last judgment issued) of		months is reduced to
(Com	nolete Parts I and II (	of Page 2 when motion is granted)
A CERTIFIED TRUE COPY Laura A. Briggs, Clerk U.S. District Court Southern District of Indiana By Inweb J. Schwerner Deputy Clerk	Me Court	
Except as otherwise provided, all provisi	ons of the judgm	
Order Date: 02/17/2012		Much Carus Barker
Order Date: 02/17/2012	_	Judge's signature
		0 10 100
Effective Date:	*	The Honorable Sarah Evans Barker
(if different from order date)		Printed name and title

<sup>\*</sup> Unless otherwise indicated, the effective date of this order shall be ten (10) days after order date.

Addendum to Order Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)
Cause No. 1:10CR00003-012
Defendant Corey Tinnin
As directed by 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2), the Court has considered the relevant factors in U.S.S.G. § 1B1.10(b) and 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), and determined a sentence reduction is not appropriate for the following reason(s):
1) The defendant is not eligible for a reduction because the amendments listed in subsection (c) of U.S.S.G. § 1B1.10 are not applicable to the defendant.
3) The defendant is eligible for a reduction under this amendment, but the Court has determined such a reduction is not appropriate because of the nature and seriousness of the danger to any person or the community that may be posed by a reduction in sentence. (Application Note 1(B) of U.S.S.G. § 1B1.10.)
4) The defendant is eligible for a reduction under this amendment. However, the Court has determined the post-sentencing conduct demonstrates the defendant may pose a danger to any person or the community by a reduction in sentence. (Application Note 1(B) of U.S.S.G. § 1B1.10.)
✓_ 5) Other (explain)
The defendant's guideline range is not lowered as he is subject to the mandatory minimum of 60 months.

